

University of Stuttgart

Institute of Combustion and Power Plant Technology Prof. Dr. techn. G. Scheffknecht





Accelerating Carbon Capture using Oxyfuel Technology in Cement Production

ACT Knowledge Sharing Workshop

M.Sc. Cynthia Kroumian, Dipl.-Ing. Jörg Maier

09.06.2022, Rotterdam



AC²OCem project motivation

1 ton of cement $\rightarrow 0.6 - 0.7$ tons of CO₂



AC²OCem Consortium





Project Duration	36 + 6 months	
Start	1.10.2019	
ACT Project No.	299663	
ACT funding	€ 3.042.274	
Total funding	€ 4.273.911	







Oxyfuel technology in the cement industry

Retrofit and new-built plants





AC²OCem project Objectives

- Optimization of the oxyfuel cement process with the ultimate goal of lowering the CO₂ avoidance cost
- Advancing the 1st & 2nd generation oxyfuel technology for utilization of up to 100% alternative fuels and up to 100 % oxygen, respectively, boosting CO₂ negative cement plants (Bio-CCS).
- Techno-economic analysis and design optimization of 1st generation cement plants, based on boundary data from Holcim in Lägerdorf, Germany and HeidelbergCement in Slite, Sweden.
- Experimental and analytical investigations of the 2nd generation oxyfuel technology without flue gas recycle, associated with a high reduction potential of energy demand, CAPEX and OPEX



AC²OCem work package structure





Pilot-scale experiments with 100 % alternative fuel and 100 % oxygen WP 2









CFD preliminary work by SINTEF and CERTH – SRF OXY31 WP 2



100 % SRF combustion in oxyfuel conditions

- 100 % SRF was combustion in the 500 kW combustion chamber at the University of Stuttgart
- The CFD model approximates the reference values for all the three main parameters and the flow is fully developed and is verified using the experimental data

University of Stuttgart - Institute of Combustion and Power Plant Technology - ACT Knowledge Sharing Workshop - Cynthia Kroumian - 09.06.2022



Improvement and impact on oxyfuel calciner

WP 3

- **Temperature** shift caused by oxyfuel atmosphere confirmed
- Role of **moisture** is evaluated. Threshold of moisture level regarding positive influence.
- The impact of **impurities** on deposit build-up is evaluated. KCl and SO2 doped as impurities.

Atmosphere	Average calciner temperature - °C	Moisture content at calciner outlet - %
Air (reference case)	840/870/910	15 – 21 - 26
Oxyfuel ^{*)} (O ₂ / CO ₂)	910/ 940/ 960	
Air/ Oxyfuel *) + SO ₂	920-930	23
Oxyfuel ^{*)} + N ₂	940	23









Retrofitting Oxyfuel 1st generation to existing plants

WP 4

Main differences of the selected plants is the raw material moisture and the need for drying energy

- Lägerdorf: Semi-wet process (~ 20% moisture)
- Slite: Dry process (2-3% moisture)

Design considerations

- Lägerdorf: Integration of raw material dryer
- Slite: Management of impurities (esp. SOx)

Process modelling

- Recirculation rate depending on given plant geometry and the need for excess heat
- CO₂ concentration in both cases ~ 80 %





Retrofitting Oxyfuel 1st generation to existing plants WP 4

Heat integration

- Lägerdorf: Intensive heat exchanger network necessary to provide enough energy for drying
- Slite: Simplified heat exchanger network and generation of power possible

Main technical challenges identified

- Lägerdorf: Heat integration based on decoupling material drying and kiln plant (with procedure for start-up)
- Slite: Integration of SOx scrubber required, limited space

Emission reduction potential

- Near zero emissions and 95% capture rate in the cement plant
- Negative CO₂ emissions in both cases possible according to biogenic CO₂ from the use of alternative fuels and long-term storage





Design of 2nd generation oxyfuel kiln

WP 5

Main characteristics

- No flue gas recirculation
- Combustion in pure oxygen
- Gas volume flows are decreased

Objectives

- Design development: 2 configurations
- Process modelling: Identification of optimal operational mode
- Heat integration: Heat exchanger network for optimal excess heat use

Deliverables

- Techno-economic feasibility study of 2nd gen. oxyfuel cement plant
- Techno-economic comparitive study of 1st and 2nd gen. oxyfuel cements plants



Heat

Bag filter





Design of 2nd generation oxyfuel kiln

WP 5

Preliminary results

- Thermal energy demand strongly depends on preheating of the material and correspondingly on flue gas volume
- Use of alternative fuels beneficial with regard to thermal energy demand (compared to air fired AFR case)
- Second configuration includes air stage to support material preheating
- Slightly lower power demand than Oxyfuel 1Gen due to higher CO₂ concentration up to 90 vol.%
- High potential for power generation (to cover approx. 25% of the additional power demand)





LCA of retrofitted cement plants under oxyfuel conditions at high biogenic fuel shares WP 6

- Life cycle assessment based on real-world data of two cement plants operating under conventional and retrofitted to oxyfuel CCS conditions are benchmarked with a reference cement plant.
- Quantification of impacts on climate change (various climate metrics), human toxicity, fossil depletion potential and water depletion potential.
- Increasing use of alternative fuels with high share of biomass (up to 100%) in the cement plants operating under oxyfuel conditions.
- Impacts with use of biomass from both **dedicated energy crops** and forest residues.
- Prospective LCA considering the projected changes in the electricity systems in the two respective countries created with a forward-looking background life-cycle database.

scientific reports

OPEN LCA and negative emission potential of retrofitted cement plants under oxyfuel conditions at high biogenic fuel shares

Otavio Cavalett¹⁸³, Marcos D. B. Watanabe¹, Kristina Fleiger², Volker Hoenig² & Francesco Cherubini¹

The implementation of oxyfuel carbon capture and storage technologies in combination with use of alternative fuels comprising high biogenic shares is promoted as an attractive climate change mitigation option for the cement sector to achieve low or even negative carbon emissions. Here, we perform a prospective life cycle assessment of two state-of-the art cement plants, one in Sweden and one in Germany, under conventional and retrofitted oxyfuel conditions considering alternative fuel mixes with increasing bio-based fractions of forest residues or dedicated bioenergy crops. The analysis also considers effects of the projected changes in the electricity systems up to 2050. Retrofitting the cement plants to oxyfuel reduces climate change impacts between 74 and 91%, while with additional use of biomass as alternative fuel the cement plants reach negative emission between - 24 and - 169 gCO_{2eq.} kg_{clinker}⁻¹, depending on operational condition, location, and biomass type. Additional emission reduction of -10 (Sweden) and -128 gCO2eg. kgclinker⁻¹ (Germany) are expected from the decarbonization of the future electricity systems. Retrofitting the cement plants to oxyfuel conditions shows trade-offs with other environmental impacts (e.g., human toxicity, water and energy depletion), which are partially offset with projected changes in electricity systems. Our results illustrate the large climate change mitigation potential in the cement sector that can be achieved by the implementation of oxyfuel carbon capture and storage and biomass use as alternative fuel.



www.nature.com/scientificreports

Check for updates



Transport

Selected environmental impact categories with increased use of biomass WP₆

а

1.20

1.00

- Higher biomass shares allows achieving negative emissions.
- Retrofitting the cement plants to the oxyfuel CO_2 • capture technology show today according to the electricity mix in Europe trade-offs with other environmental impact factors.
- With increasing shares of renewable energy and the availability of Oxygen from the H_2 electrolyser plants the oxyfuel CO₂ capture technology will overcompensate such impacts substantially.



Climate change (GWP100)





Chances for commercializing the oxyfuel technology...

... to accelarate CCUS in the cement industry













Next steps for AC²OCem

- Unfortunately, the pandemic happened in a time frame heavy with experimental activities
 → 6 months extension has been requested and granted
- Most experimental work has been completed, last tests planned for this summer.
- The consortium partners are working together to abate any further delays
- →this helps keep the project on track and insures CCS research is accelerate!
- →Next progress meeting November 2022
- →Workshop, in collaboration with the ANICA project in March 2023

http://ac2ocem.eu-projects.de/







Thank you to our funding agencies





AC²OCem is funded through the ACT program (Accelerating CCS Technologies, Horizon2020 Project No 299663).

Financial contributions, from the following agencies, are gratefully acknowledged

- Research Council of Norway, (RCN), Norway
- Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy (BMWi), Germany
- Swiss Federal Office of Energy (SFOE), Switzerland
- General Secretariat for Research and Development (GSRT), Greece
- French Environment & Energy Management Agency (ADEME), France





Schweizerische Eidgenossenschaft Confédération suisse Confederazione Svizzera Confederaziun svizra

Swiss Federal Office of Energy SFOE







European Structural and Investment Funds







Thank you!



Dipl.-Ing. Jörg Maier

Joerg.maier@ifk.uni-stuttgart.de +49 711 685 63396

University of Stuttgart Institute of Combustion and Power Plant Technology Pfaffenwaldring 23, 70569 Stuttgart



M.Sc. Cynthia Kroumian

cynthia.kroumian@ifk.uni-stuttgart.de +49 711 685 66211

University of Stuttgart Institute of Combustion and Power Plant Technology Pfaffenwaldring 23, 70569 Stuttgart



http://ac2ocem.eu-projects.de/